

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

A coprimality condition on consecutive values of polynomials

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1647081> since 2017-08-23T12:06:23Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1112/blms.12078

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

A COPRIMALITY CONDITION ON CONSECUTIVE VALUES OF POLYNOMIALS

CARLO SANNA AND MÁRTON SZIKSZAI[†]

ABSTRACT. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a quadratic or cubic polynomial. We prove that there exists an integer $G_f \geq 2$ such that for every integer $k \geq G_f$ one can find infinitely many integers $n \geq 0$ with the property that none of $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$ is coprime to all the others. This extends previous results on linear polynomials and, in particular, on consecutive integers.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $s = (s(n))_{n \geq 1}^\infty$ be an arbitrary sequence of integers and define $g_s \geq 2$ to be the smallest integer such that one can find g_s consecutive terms of s with the property that none of them is coprime to all the others. Similarly, let $G_s \geq 2$ denote the smallest integer such that for every $k \geq G_s$ one can find k consecutive terms satisfying the above requirements. The quantities g_s and G_s may or may not exist. For instance, the sequence of positive even integers has $g_s = G_s = 2$, while for the sequence of prime numbers neither exists. Note that the existence of G_s implies that of g_s and one has $g_s \leq G_s$. For less trivial examples see the paper of Hajdu and Szikszai [9].

Erdős [4] was the first to prove the existence of G_s when s is the sequence of natural numbers. Later, the combined efforts of Pillai [13] and Brauer [2] gave a more explicit result, namely that $g_s = G_s = 17$. We note that interest in such a problem is twofold. On one hand, Pillai aimed at the solution of the classical Diophantine problem whether the product of consecutive integers can be a perfect power. While a complete answer was given by Erdős and Selfridge [5], Pillai [14] himself proved, using his already mentioned result from [13], that it cannot be so if one takes at most 16 consecutive terms. On the other hand, Brauer [2] made connection with his earlier paper [3] on an old problem, studied already by Legendre [11], concerning prime gaps. In fact, Erdős [4] himself also studied prime gaps.

Gradually, the study of g_s and G_s in various sequences, and their importance in analogous problems as the ones mentioned earlier, attracted an increased attention. Evans [6] considered the case when s is an arithmetic progression and proved the existence of G_s . Ohtomo and Tamari [12] derived the same, but also dealt with numerical aspects by showing that $G_s \leq 384$ for the sequence of odd integers. The most recent progress is due to Hajdu and Saradha [8] who gave an effective upper bound on G_s depending only on the difference of the progression together with a heuristic algorithm to find the exact value of it, whenever the number of prime factors of the difference is “small”.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 11A07, Secondary: 11C08.

Key words and phrases. coprimality; covering; integer sequences; Pillai; polynomials.

[†]The author was supported through the New National Excellence Program of The Ministry of Human Capacities.

Observe that both the natural numbers and arithmetic progressions can be considered as consecutive values of linear polynomials. Recently, Harrington and Jones [10] studied quadratic sequences, that is, for some quadratic $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ one has $s(n) = f(n)$ for every $n \geq 1$. They computed the exact value of g_s when f is monic or when it belongs to some special families of nonmonic polynomials. Further, they conjectured that g_s exists and that $g_s \leq 35$ for every quadratic polynomial. However, they did not consider G_s to any extent.

In this paper, we considerably extend the previous results. Before stating our result we note that throughout the paper we use the notation $g_f = g_s$ and $G_f = G_s$ and write about consecutive values of the polynomial f instead of consecutive terms of the corresponding sequence s . The main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is quadratic or cubic, then G_f exists. Further, for every $k \geq G_f$ one can find infinitely many integers $n \geq 0$ such that $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$ have the property that none of them is coprime to all the others.*

Observe that Theorem 1.1 allows us to immediately settle one part of the conjecture made by Harrington and Jones [10] on g_f .

Corollary 1.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is quadratic, then g_f exists.*

Here we do not consider the absolute boundedness of g_f , but make some remarks on it instead. For every positive integer $k \geq 2$, there exists a quadratic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $k \leq g_f \leq G_f$. This follows easily by taking d to be the product of the first k primes and then looking at the polynomial $f(X) = (1 + dX)^2$. On one hand we have $g_f = g_{1+dX}$ and $G_f = G_{1+dX}$, while on the other we have $k \leq g_{1+dX} \leq G_{1+dX}$. Nevertheless, we could not say anything about the irreducible case and we feel that, despite not stating it anywhere and not excluding reducibles before, Harrington and Jones made their conjecture on this more interesting setting.

Let us finish this section by discussing the main tools we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1. The basic idea is to construct for every quadratic or cubic polynomial f an auxiliary polynomial \tilde{f} that, in some sense, controls the existence of “close” solutions to polynomial congruences $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then we show that if k is desirably large, one has enough primes with such close solutions to “cover” some block of k consecutive numbers $f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+k)$. The success of this construction relies on the Stickelberger parity theorem, results on the p -adic valuations of products of consecutive polynomial values, and lower bounds on the number of certain subsets of primes.

Note that our methods can yield, at least in principle, an effective upper bound on G_f . However, the bound would be too large to be useful in practice. Further, we emphasize that Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of G_f for every quartic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ that is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ (we always have a factor of degree at most 3), but our construction already fails to deal with quartic polynomials in general. We point out this more explicitly in the next section. Nevertheless, the above observations raise two natural questions.

Question 1.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be of degree at least 4 and irreducible over \mathbb{Z} . Does Theorem 1.1 extend to some family of such polynomials?

Question 1.2. Does there exist an efficient algorithm that, taken as input a quadratic or cubic polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, returns G_f , or at least a good upper bound for G_f ?

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is devoted to the auxiliary results we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1. First, let us fix some notations. The letter p always denotes a prime number. For any $x \geq 1$ and for any set of integers \mathcal{S} , we put $\mathcal{S}(x) := \mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]$. We also use the Landau–Bachmann “Big Oh” notation O and the associated Vinogradov symbols \ll and \gg . In particular, any dependence of the implied constants is indicated either with subscripts or explicitly stated. Let

$$f(X) = a_k X^k + a_{k-1} X^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0,$$

be a polynomial of degree $k \geq 1$ and with integer coefficients a_0, \dots, a_k . We define

$$(1) \quad \tilde{f}(X) := a_k^{2k-2} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq k \\ i \neq j}} (X - (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)),$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are all the roots of f in some algebraic closure. Observe that \tilde{f} can be computed from the relation

$$\text{Res}_X(f(X), f(X+Y)) = a_k^2 Y^k \tilde{f}(Y),$$

where Res_X is the resultant of polynomials respect to X . In particular, for $k = 2$

$$\tilde{f}(X) = a_2^2 X^2 - \Delta_f,$$

while for $k = 3$

$$\tilde{f}(X) = (a_3^2 X^2 + 3a_1 a_3 - a_2^2)^2 X^2 - \Delta_f,$$

where Δ_f denotes the discriminant of f . We have the following simple, but useful property.

Lemma 2.1. *If $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is a nonconstant polynomial, then f and \tilde{f} have the same Galois group over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. The identity

$$\alpha_i = \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k (\alpha_i - \alpha_j) - \frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

implies that f and \tilde{f} have the same splitting field over \mathbb{Q} , and hence the same Galois group. \square

The next result deals with another interesting connection between f and \tilde{f} , namely it relates \tilde{f} to “close” solutions of the congruence $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be of degree $k = 2$ or 3 and suppose that $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$ for some prime number $p \nmid 6a_k$ and some positive integer r . Then there exists an integer n such that*

$$f(n) \equiv f(n+r) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ be the roots of f in the algebraic closure of the finite field \mathbb{F}_p . Since $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$, by (1) we can assume that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = r$, where r is considered as an element of \mathbb{F}_p . If $k = 2$, then $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$ by Viète’s formulas and $p \nmid a_k$, so that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, since $p > 2$, and the claim follows. If $k = 3$, we distinguish two cases. If f has a root in \mathbb{F}_p , then it is

either one of α_1, α_2 , so that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, or it is α_3 , in which case α_1 and α_2 are the roots of a quadratic polynomial in \mathbb{F}_p , and proceeding as in the case $k = 2$ we get again $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. If f is irreducible in \mathbb{F}_p , then any Galois automorphism of f over \mathbb{F}_p which sends α_1 to α_2 also sends α_2 to α_3 . Therefore,

$$r = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_2 - \alpha_3$$

and

$$3\alpha_2 = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3),$$

which implies again $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{F}_p$, since $p > 3$. \square

Remark 2.1. Note that the conclusion of Lemma 2.2 is no longer true if the hypothesis on the degree is dropped. Take for instance, $f(X) = X^4 + 1$. We have that $7 \mid \tilde{f}(3)$, but the congruence $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ has no solutions at all. We did not manage to find a simple and nice way to construct a family of irreducible polynomials of degree 4 such that Lemma 2.2 fails. However, we can give instead a family of reducible quartic polynomials as follows: Given an irreducible quadratic polynomial $g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and a positive integer r , put $f(X) := g(X)g(X+r)$. Then, all prime numbers divide $\tilde{f}(r) = 0$, but there are infinitely many prime numbers p such that $f(X) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ has no solutions.

Now for any nonconstant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ we define

$$\mathcal{P}_f := \{p : p \mid f(n) \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is well-known that \mathcal{P}_f has a positive relative density δ_f in the set of prime numbers. More precisely, the Frobenius density theorem says that $\delta_f = \text{Fix}(\mathcal{G})/\#\mathcal{G}$, where \mathcal{G} is the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} , and $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{G})$ is the number of elements of \mathcal{G} which have at least one fixed point, when regarded as permutations of the roots of f (see, e.g., [16]). If f has a rational root, then it follows easily that $\delta_f = 1$. However, we remark that it can be $\delta_f = 1$ also if f has no rational roots. An example is given by $f(X) = (X^2 - 2)(X^2 - 3)(X^2 - 6)$, because for a prime number p the product of two nonsquares modulo p is a square modulo p . We need the following asymptotic formula for $\#\mathcal{P}_f(x)$.

Theorem 2.3. *For any nonconstant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{P}_f(x) = \delta_f \text{Li}(x) + O_f\left(\frac{x}{\exp(C_f \sqrt{\log x})}\right)$$

for all $x \geq 2$, where Li denotes the logarithmic integral function and $C_f > 0$ is a constant depending on f only.

Proof. The formula is a direct consequence of the effective version of the Chebotarev density theorem [15, Theorem 3.4]. \square

For each prime number p , let ν_p be the usual p -adic valuation. The next lemma concerns the p -adic valuation of products consisting of consecutive values of a polynomial.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a polynomial without roots in \mathbb{N} , and set*

$$(2) \quad Q_N := \prod_{n=1}^N f(n),$$

for all positive integers N . Then, for any prime number p , we have

$$\nu_p(Q_N) = \frac{t_f N}{p-1} + O_f\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right),$$

for all integers $N \geq 2$, where t_f is the number of roots of f in the p -adic integers.

Proof. This is [1, Theorem 1.2]. Note that in [1] the error term is written as $O(\log N)$, but looking at the proof one can easily check that it is $O_f(\log N / \log p)$. \square

Our last auxiliary result establishes a lower bound for the number of “big” prime factors of an irreducible polynomial.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a nonconstant polynomial. For each positive integers N , let \mathcal{S}_N be the set of all prime numbers p such that $p > N$ and $p \mid f(n)$ for some positive integer $n \leq N$. Then, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{S}_N \gg_f (1 - \delta_f)N,$$

for all sufficiently large integers N .

Proof. We proceed similarly to the first part of the proof of [7, Theorem 5.1].

Define Q_N as in (2). If $\delta_f = 1$, then the claim follows. Hence we can assume that f has no roots in \mathbb{N} . In particular, $Q_N \neq 0$ for every integer $N \geq 1$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_N = \{p : p \mid Q_N, p > N\}$. Put $\mathcal{S}'_N := \{p : p \mid Q_N, p \leq N\}$, so that

$$(3) \quad \log |Q_N| = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p + \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p,$$

for every positive integer N . For the rest of the proof, all the implied constants may depend on f . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\nu_p(Q_N) = \frac{t_f N}{p-1} + O\left(\frac{\log N}{\log p}\right),$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$, and thus

$$(4) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p \ll \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \log p \ll \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}_N} \log |f(N)| \ll \#\mathcal{S}_N \log N.$$

Since \mathcal{S}'_N is a subset of the set of all prime numbers up to N , by the Prime Number Theorem (or even Chebyshev's estimates), it follows that

$$\#\mathcal{S}'_N \ll \frac{N}{\log N}.$$

Moreover, since $\mathcal{S}'_N \subseteq \mathcal{P}_f(N)$, by Theorem 2.3 and by partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f(N)} \frac{\log p}{p-1} = \delta_f \log N + O(1),$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$. Therefore,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \nu_p(Q_N) \log p \leq \sum_{p \in \mathcal{S}'_N} \left(\frac{kN \log p}{p-1} + O(\log N) \right) \leq \delta_f kN \log N + O(N).$$

for every integer $N \geq 2$. Finally, by Stirling's formula

$$(6) \quad \log |Q_N| = kN \log N + O(N).$$

Putting together (3), (4), (5), and (6), we get

$$\#\mathcal{S}_N \gg (1 - \delta_f)kN + O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right),$$

and the desired result follows. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be a nonconstant polynomial of degree 2 or 3. If f is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, then there exists a linear polynomial $h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $h(n) \mid f(n)$ for all integers n ; and the existence of G_f follows immediately from the existence of G_h proved by Evans [6]. Therefore, we can assume that f is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$. Hence the Galois group of f over \mathbb{Q} is precisely one of S_2 , S_3 , or A_3 , and by the Frobenius density theorem δ_f is $1/2$, $2/3$, or $1/3$, respectively. Further, by Lemma 2.1 we know that f and \tilde{f} has the same Galois group over \mathbb{Q} , and, consequently, by the Frobenius density theorem $\delta_{\tilde{f}} = \delta_f$.

Let N be a sufficiently large positive integer. Define \mathcal{S}_N as the set of all prime numbers p such that $p > N/2$ and $p \mid \tilde{f}(r)$ for some positive integer $r \leq N/2$. Thanks to the previous considerations and Lemma 2.5, we have that

$$(7) \quad \#\mathcal{S}_N \geq c_1 N,$$

for all sufficiently large N , where $c_1 > 0$ is constant depending only on f . Moreover, Lemma 2.2 tell us that for each $p \in \mathcal{S}_N$ there exists two integers z_p^- and z_p^+ such that

$$f(z_p^-) \equiv f(z_p^+) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and $0 < z_p^+ - z_p^- \leq N/2 < p$.

Now since

$$\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f} \frac{1}{p} = +\infty,$$

we can fix $s \geq 1$ elements $p_1 < \dots < p_s$ of \mathcal{P}_f such that

$$(8) \quad \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) < \frac{c_1}{3}.$$

Moreover, by the definition of \mathcal{P}_f , for each $p \in \mathcal{P}_f$ we can pick an integer z_p such that $f(z_p) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Let $h_1 < \dots < h_{N_1}$ be all the elements of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ which are not divisible by any of the primes p_1, \dots, p_s , and let $k_1 < \dots < k_{N_2}$ be all the remaining elements, so that $N = N_1 + N_2$. By the Eratosthenes' sieve and (8), we have

$$(9) \quad N_1 \leq N \prod_{i=1}^s \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) + 2^s < \frac{c_1}{2} N,$$

for all sufficiently large N . Let $q_1 < \dots < q_t$ be all the elements of $\mathcal{S}_N \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$. From (7) and (9), we get that

$$t \geq c_1 N - s > \frac{c_1}{2} N > N_1,$$

for all sufficiently large N . As a consequence, for any $j = 1, \dots, N_1$, we can define $r_j = z_{q_j}^-$ if $h_j \leq N/2$, and $r_j = z_{q_j}^+$ if $h_j > N/2$. Finally, we assume N sufficiently large so that $N \geq 2p_s$.

At this point, note that by construction p_1, \dots, p_s and q_1, \dots, q_{N_1} are all pairwise distinct. Thus, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the system of congruences:

$$\begin{cases} n \equiv z_{p_i} & (\text{mod } p_i) & i = 1, \dots, s \\ n \equiv r_j - h_j & (\text{mod } q_j) & j = 1, \dots, N_1 \end{cases}$$

has infinitely many positive integer solutions. If n is a solution, then it is easy to see that none of the integers among

$$f(n+1), f(n+2), \dots, f(n+N)$$

is relatively prime to all the others.

Indeed, take any $h \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. On one hand, if h is divisible by some p_i , then

$$f(n+h) \equiv f(n+h \pm p_i) \equiv f(z_{p_i}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i},$$

so that

$$\gcd(f(n+h), f(n+h \pm p_i)) > 1,$$

while $h \pm p_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ for the right choice of the sign, since $N \geq 2p_s$.

On the other hand, if h is not divisible by any of p_1, \dots, p_s , then $h = h_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, N_1\}$. If $h_j \leq N/2$, then

$$f(n+h) \equiv f(z_{q_j}^-) \equiv 0 \pmod{q_j},$$

and

$$f(n+h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^-) \equiv f(z_{q_j}^+) \equiv 0 \pmod{q_j},$$

so that

$$\gcd(f(n+h), f(n+h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^-)) > 1,$$

while $h+z_{q_j}^+ - z_{q_j}^- \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Similarly, if $h_j > N/2$ then

$$\gcd(f(n+h+z_{q_j}^- - z_{q_j}^+), f(n+h)) > 1,$$

while $h+z_{q_j}^- - z_{q_j}^+ \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Hence, the existence of G_f has been proved.

Remark 3.1. Note that when f has a linear factor $h = a + dX \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, we can say more than the existence of G_f . Namely, we may apply the results of Hajdu and Saradha [8] to get an effective upper bound on G_f depending on the number of prime factors of d .

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the anonymous referee for his/her suggestions which improved the quality of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. AMDEBERHAN, L. A. MEDINA, AND V. H. MOLL, *Asymptotic valuations of sequences satisfying first order recurrences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 3, 885-890.
- [2] A. BRAUER, *On a property of k consecutive integers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **47** (1941), 328-331.
- [3] A. BRAUER AND M. ZEITZ, *Über eine zahlentheoretische Behauptung von Legendre*, Sitzungsberichte d. Berliner Mathematischen Gesellschaft **29** (1930), 116-125.
- [4] P. ERDŐS, *On the difference of consecutive primes*, Q. J. Math. **6** (1935), 124-128.
- [5] P. ERDŐS AND J. L. SELFRIDGE, *The product of consecutive integers is never a power*, Illinois J. Math. **19** (1975), 292-301.
- [6] R. EVANS, *On N consecutive integers in an arithmetic progression*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **33** (1972), 295-296.
- [7] G. EVEREST, S. STEVENS, D. TAMSETT, AND T. WARD, *Primes generated by recurrence sequences*, Amer. Math. Monthly **114** (2007), no. 5, 417-431.
- [8] L. HAJDU AND N. SARADHA, *On a problem of Pillai and its generalizations*, Acta Arith. **144** (2010), 323-347.
- [9] L. HAJDU AND M. SZIKSZAI, *On the GCD-s of k consecutive terms of Lucas sequences*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 12, 3056-3069.
- [10] J. HARRINGTON AND L. JONES, *Extending a theorem of Pillai to quadratic sequences*, Integers **15A** (2015), Paper No. A7, 22.
- [11] A-M. LEGENDRE, *Théorie des nombres*, Tome II, Paris (1830), 71-79.
- [12] M. OHTOMO AND F. TAMARI, *On relative prime number in a sequence of positive integers*, J. Statist. Plann. Inference **106** (2002), no. 1-2, 509-515, Experimental design and related combinatorics.
- [13] S. S. PILLAI, *On m consecutive integers I*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. **11** (1940), 6-12.
- [14] S. S. PILLAI, *On m consecutive integers II*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. **11** (1940), 73-80.
- [15] J.-P. SERRE, *Lectures on $N_X(p)$* , Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 11, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.
- [16] P. STEVENHAGEN AND H. W. LENSTRA, *Chebotarëv and his density theorem*, Math. Intelligencer **18** (1996), no. 2, 26-37.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY

E-mail address: carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, P.O. BOX 400., H-4002 DEBRECEN, HUNGARY

E-mail address: szikszai.marton@science.unideb.hu